UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,614	10/06/2003	Takeshi Watanabe	9281-4690	3329
Brinks Hofer G	7590 09/24/200 ilson & Lione	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 10395			CHIEN, LUCY P	
Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/679,614	WATANABE, TAKESHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LUCY P. CHIEN	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ne 2009.				
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.				
· =	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,8 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,8 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10/6/2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/2/2009</u> . 6) Other:					

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1,4,5,8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1,4,5,8,11,12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara Chie et al (JP 08-281856) in view of Kubo Koichi et al (US 06-043310)

Regarding Claim 1,11,12,

Hara Chie et al discloses (abstract and drawing 1 and drawing 3) a transparent coordinate input device comprising a first transparent base material (1b) having a first transparent resistance film thereon (3b), and a second transparent base material (1) facing said first transparent base material (1b) with a clearance there between (gap formed by 4) and having a second transparent resistance film (3) disposed below the first transparent resistance film (3b), plural ridge portions (3 the zigzag electrode) are formed only on a surface of the first transparent base material (1b) which faces the second transparent base material. (note: if you flip the figure 180 degrees, the first transparent base material with the ridges is disposed below the second transparent base material) The ridges are transparent having a predetermined pitch in at least one

of said first and second transparent resistance films, wherein the forming pitch of said ridge portions is between 300 mum.m which are overlapping ranges of 100 to 5000 mu.m inclusive [0010]. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Hara Chie et al does not disclose the ridge portions have a polygonal shape in section, are narrow in width, and are projected strips longitudinally extending in one direction. The ridge portions adjacent to each other are formed with a predetermined pitch and formed by continuously extending the ridge portions.

Kubo Koichi et al (Drawing 10) discloses the ridge portions (106)(undulations, irregularities are all ridges) have a polygonal shape (having many sides) in section and are projected strips longitudinally extending in one direction.

It would have been obvious to modify Hara Chie et al's ridges to include Kubo Koichi et al's ridges (106) having a polygonal shape in section and are projected strips longitudinally extending in one direction motivated by the desire to produce uniform light and improve the brightness of the display (abstract).

Regarding Claim 4,

In addition to Hara Chie et al and Kubo Koichi et al as disclosed above, Hara Chie et al discloses (abstract and drawing 1 and drawing 3) wherein the-heights of said ridge portions are set to range from 0.5 or more or 5 mu.m or less which is an overlapping range of 0.1 to 10 mum inclusive.[0010] See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Regarding Claim 5,

In addition to Hara Chie et al and Kubo Koichi et al as disclosed above, Hara Chie et al discloses (abstract and drawing 1 and drawing 3) a liquid crystal display panel which [0004].

Regarding Claim 8,

In addition to Hara Chie et al and Kubo Koichi et al as disclosed above, Kubo Koichi et al (Drawing 10) discloses wherein said ridge portion (106) is extended in a direction inclined at a constant angle with respect to each of two perpendicular sides for partitioning a pixel of said liquid crystal display panel.

Regarding Claim 10,

In addition to Hara Chie et al and Kubo Koichi et al as disclosed above, Kubo Koichi et al (Drawing 10) discloses the ridge portion has a triangular shape in section.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2871

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to LUCY P. CHIEN whose telephone number is (571)272-

8579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lucy P Chien Examiner Art Unit 2871

/David Nelms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2871